

# OBE Lectures Series-4 Accreditation Criteria of Washington Accord/PEC under OBE ( 9 Criterion)

# Criteria – 1: Program Educational Objectives (PEOs)

#### **Attributes of PEOs**

- 1. Well-defined and published Institute Vision and Mission
- PEOs are defined, consistent with the Vision / Mission, and well publicized.
- 3. Involvement of stakeholders in formulation / review of PEOs.
- 4. A process in place to evaluate the attainment of PEOs.
- 5. Evaluation results used for continual improvement of the program

- Follow up at CUSIT/Deptt Level
- University, Department mission to be aligned and published in the prospectus/other University Material,
- Appropriate Mapping of CUSIT mission/Department Vision and Mission and PEOs.
- Major Stakeholders like employers, industry and Alumni Formal involvement
- 4. Achievement of PEOs assessed through Alumni, Employers Surveys
- Periodic Review process of PEOs (CQI)

#### Criteria – 2:

### Program Learning Outcomes (PLOs)

#### **Attributes of PLOs**

5.

- 1. PLOs are well-defined and publicized.
- 2. PLOs are appropriately linked to PEOs
- PLOs encompass all the required Graduate
   Attributes as defined in EAB Accreditation
   Manual
- 4. Mapping of Courses to PLOs
  - Teaching-learning and assessment methods appropriate and supportive to the attainment of PLOs
  - Quality of assessment process to evaluate the attainment of PLOs at student as well as cohort levels through well-defined Key Performance Indicators (KPIs).
- 7. Process in place by which assessment results are applied to further refine the assessment mechanism and/or redefine the program outcomes, thus leading to continuous improvement of the program

- Follow up at CUSIT/Deptt Level
- PLOs are customized and published
- 2. Mapping of PLOs and PEOs.
- All 11 PLOs specified by PEC/WA to be customized. Mapping of CLOs and PLOs.
- 4. Mapping of CLOs and PLOs.
- 5. CLOs/Course Files, Direct and Indirect Assessment ensure achievement of PLOs
- 6. Semester Review of PLOs
  Achievement against KPI both
  at Cohort level and Individual
  level
- 7. Students' Performance Review by CQI team in every semester and plan for their improvement

# Criteria – 3: Curriculum and Learning Process

- Curriculum covers required breadth, depth and distribution of the program courses according to program specific (HEC/PEC NCRC curriculum) guidelines.
- Curriculum provides balanced coverage of engineering and non-engineering contents in-line with National Engineering Qualifications Framework (NEQF)
- Adequate exposure to Complex Engineering Problems (CEPs) and Activities
- 4. Availability of program specific well equipped labs to supplement theoretical knowledge/class room learning.
- 5. Lab work supporting the attainment of the required skills and its assessment mechanism

- Follow up at CUSIT/Deptt Level
- PEC/HEC approved Curriculum to be followed
   PEC approved distribution of
  - PEC approved distribution of Engineering and Non Engineering Courses required.
- 3. The most misconceived part of preparation. Intensive trainings of Faculty required. This will be separately treated.
- 4. All required Labs to be provided
- 5. Assessment of Lab work must include direct and indirect methods, relating to all the three domains (Knowledge, Skill and Attitude). Lab Rubric to be developed

### Criteria – 3:

# **Curriculum and Learning Process-Cont'd**

- 1. CLOs defined for all courses with appropriate Learning-Levels, e.g. the ones defined in Bloom's Taxonomy, and their mapping to relevant PLOs
- Benchmarking of curriculum carried out with National / International best practices – Washington Accord (WA) recognized programs
   Formal involvement of industry in curriculum
- development/ revision

  4. Employment of other aspects of student learning such as tutorial system and seminar / workshops, etc. to enhance student learning, in addition to regular classroom interaction and lab experimentation
- lab experimentation5. Exposure to cooperative learning through supervised internship program with formal feedback from the employer

- Follow up at CUSIT/Deptt Leve
- 1. Course File, Mapping of CLOs and PLOs with appropriate level must be exhibited.
- 2. Check the comparison of Curriculum with the national and International best institutes
- 3. Members from Employers and industry to be represented in BoS/BoF
- 4. Extensive
  Seminars/workshops and
  other co-curricualr activities
  to broaden the learning
  capacity of Students
- 5. Supervised internships,
  Survey Camps with
  appropriate feedback

# Criteria – 3: Curriculum and Learning Process-Cont'd

- Sufficient opportunities to invoke intuitiveness and originality of thought through Problem Based Learning (PBL), Design Projects and Open-Ended labs.
- Assessment of various learning outcomes (PLOs/CLOs) employing appropriate direct / indirect methods.

- Follow up at CUSIT/Deptt Level
- Real Problems based assignments, Case studies and Design Projects and Open Ended labs as part of learning system
- 2. Assessment tools to be deployed to check the attainment of PLOs/CLOs both direct and indirect methods and tools

## Criteria – 4: Students

#### **Attributes**

- Admission Criteria meets / exceeds minimum eligibility criteria prescribed by PEC Regulations
- 2. Annual intake is in-line with the maximum intake allowed by EAB for the program.
- 3. Efforts made to provide off-class academic counseling such as through engaging RAs/TAs/GAs holding scheduled tutorials, problem solving sessions etc. Regular office hours announced by faculty is the minimum expectation.
- 4. Availability of designated student counselors to advise / counsel students regarding academic / career matters and provide assistance in managing their health, financial, stress, emotional and spiritual problems.

#### Follow up at CUSIT/Deptt Level

- 1. PEC approved criteria to be followed.
- Clear Policy and limit of Credit transfer from accredited institutions only.
- 3. Rigorous Academic Counseling, including Department Counselors, Research Assistants, Teaching Assistant etc. Regular Counseling Hours by faculty, problems solving session with students, their parents etc.
- 4. Roles of Students Counseling,
  Career Development Centre,
  their representation at
  department level.

# Criteria - 4: Students-Cont'd

- 1. Manageable class-size (around 40-50 for theory classes) and lab groups (2-3 students per workstation for hands-on type experiments, larger groups may be manageable for demonstration type)
- 2. Manageable semester academic load (i.e. 15-18 Cr. Hrs)
- 3. Completion of courses as evident from
  - course-files and through student feedback
- 4. Students' participation in national / international engineering exhibitions and / or competitions, and facilitation by program for such participations
- 5. Quality of process to evaluate student performance and suggest / take corrective measures

- Follow up at CUSIT/Deptt Level
- Class Size restriction. Ample work stations for performance
- 2. Teaching Load to be restricted to approved
- 3. Course completion report online, review at HoD and DQEC levels.
- 4. Extensive involvement of students in workshops, visits, exhibition etc. Role of CDC and Industry Liaison Section
- 5. Internship surveys, Alumni
  Surveys, Employers surveys etc
  and appropriate corrective
  actions

# Criteria – 5: Faculty and Support Staff

#### **Attributes**

- Sufficient Faculty Strength for providing effective student-teacher interaction (student-teacher ratio should be as per PEC guidelines, i.e. better than 20:1)
- Balanced faculty having appropriate qualifications (min. postgraduate with a reasonable percentage holding PhD) to cover all areas of program curriculum
- 3. Formal mechanism for faculty training and mentoring on pedagogical skills including OBE concepts and implementation methodologies.
- 4. Effectiveness of faculty development program to ensure their professional growth and retention.
- 5. Reasonable faculty workload (as per PEC guidelines) including facilitation to young faculty pursuing higher studies.

#### Follow up at CUSIT/Deptt Level

- 1. The 20:1 ratio to be maintained Teacher teaching for at least one semester included. Faculty not teaching not counted.
- 2. MS plus experience. At least one PhD per section of intake. Their diversity required.
- 3. Rigorous Faculty training sessions on PBE
- 4. Faculty retention history through development and motivation.
- Faculty development

   opportunities and young
   Faculty development focus.

# Criteria – 6: Facilities and Infrastructure

- Adequacy of teaching and learning facilities,
   e.g. classroom environment and availability of
   various teaching aids, etc.
   Provision of program specific labs (as per
  - curriculum), workshops, and associated lab equipment for complementing the class / theory work.
- 3. Adequacy of library resources and facilities4. Provision of sufficient computing facilities and
  - internet access / resources allocated for the program

    Provision and effectiveness of consulting and
- 5. Provision and effectiveness of consulting and career placement services provided to the
- students

  6. Adequacy of support facilities such as hostels, sports and recreational centers, health care centers, student centers, and transport facilities

- Follow up at CUSIT/Deptt Level
- No of Class rooms, labs and AV Aids in Classes.
- The basic Labs and Shared Labs must be consistent with the program needs
- 3. Basic books and Reference books. 1000 titles
- 4. Computer Labs and Wifi facilities
- 5. Department level effective counseling Mechanism
- 6. Students' Support services, researtional, health and transport facilities

# Criteria – 6: Facilities and Infrastructure

- Adequacy of teaching and learning facilities,
   e.g. classroom environment and availability of
   various teaching aids, etc.
   Provision of program specific labs (as per
  - curriculum), workshops, and associated lab equipment for complementing the class / theory work.
- 3. Adequacy of library resources and facilities4. Provision of sufficient computing facilities and
  - internet access / resources allocated for the program

    Provision and effectiveness of consulting and
- 5. Provision and effectiveness of consulting and career placement services provided to the
- students

  6. Adequacy of support facilities such as hostels, sports and recreational centers, health care centers, student centers, and transport facilities

- Follow up at CUSIT/Deptt Level
- No of Class rooms, labs and AV Aids in Classes.
- The basic Labs and Shared Labs must be consistent with the program needs
- 3. Basic books and Reference books. 1000 titles
- 4. Computer Labs and Wifi facilities
- 5. Department level effective counseling Mechanism
- 6. Students' Support services, researtional, health and transport facilities

# Criteria – 6: Facilities and Infrastructure-Cont'd

#### **Attributes**

 Adequacy of arrangements made / measures taken to ensure work-place safety (EHS concerns) in general, and while performing experiments in the labs. in particular

- Follow up at CUSIT/Deptt Level
- 1. Lab Safety manuals, Safety drills, evacuation maps and signs, Protective measures and gadgets in performing Lab experiments, Gloves, Glasses, and Ear plugs, plastic hats etc.

### Criteria - 7:

### Institutional Support and Financial Resources

- 1. Adequacy of institutional financial resources to ensure program's sustainability and meeting of recurring as well as developmental requirements.
- Evidence of continued financial commitment in the form of increasing endowment and recurring /development budget since last accreditation visit.
- 3. Provision of funding for R&D pursuits and presentations/publication of research papers

- Follow up at CUSIT/Deptt Level
- 1. Sustainable Fee Revenue, commitment of financial resources for recurring and development requirements of the program.
- 2. Increased allocations, progressively
- 3. Funding of research activities, research publications etc.

### Criteria - 8:

# **Continuous Quality Improvement (CQI)**

#### **Attributes**

5.

- 1. CQI process is well documented and institutionalized at all levels (CLOs, PLOs and PEOs).
- 2. Actions taken / implementation plans worked out to address the concerns/weaknesses identified in the last accreditation visit report
- 3. Improvement in Faculty Strength / Qualifications since last accreditation visit
- 4. Improvement in Student-Teacher Ratio since last accreditation visit
  - Continuation of Faculty Publications, R&D and Consultancy activities
- 6. Addition of any new facilities, i.e. infrastructure, lab equipment, teaching aids, etc. to assist in the attainment of program objectives / outcomes, since last accreditation visit
- 7. New initiative(s) taken since last accreditation visit (including but not limited to OBE implementation, content delivery, assessment and evaluation processes, etc

- Follow up at CUSIT/Deptt Level
  - . Proof to be provided by DQEC and UQEC.
  - Evidence to be provided
    Evidence to be
  - provided
    . Evidence to be provided
- Evidence to be provided
  - Evidence to be provided
- 7. Evidence to be provided

# Criteria – 9: Industrial Linkages

- Existence of active Industrial Advisory Board/Committee
- Formal mechanism for seeking feedback from Industry and its analysis for the attainment of PEOs
- 3. Opportunities for students to acquire industrial experience via internship and existence of Industry-Liaison office
- Design projects sponsored / supervised jointly by Industry Professionals and faculty members
- 5. Faculty members involved in design / supervision / consultancy role with the industry in the execution of industrial projects

- Follow up at CUSIT/Deptt Level
- National and Provincial Advisory Bords/Minutes of meetings and recommendations
- Involvement of industry reps in BOS/BoF
- 3. Internships, industrial visits, industry interaction